River Basin Specific Pollutants Selection and Monitoring

International Commission

Commission Kommission for the Protection zum Schutz of the Danube River der Donau

Danube Case

Igor Liska - ICPDR Alfred Rauchbüchl - BAW

JRC – NORMAN Water Framework Directive Workshop, Stresa, Italy, 10-11 June 2010

Contents



- ➔ Introduction
- ➔ ICPDR Monitoring Strategy
- Transnational Monitoring Network TNMN
- ➔ Joint Danube Surveys JDS
- ➔ Specific Pollutants / Emerging Pollutants

Introduction



- Convention on Co-operation for the Protection and Sustainable Use of the Danube River (DRPC)
 - → Signed 1994
 - → Enforced 1998
- ➔ Danube Declaration 2004
 - → 10 year anniversary of DRPC
 - → Statement of new goals
 - → Implementation Water Framework Directive

Introduction

International Internationale

Commission for the Protection of the Danube River der Donau

Table 1: Basic characteristics of the Danube River Basin District.

DRBD area	807,827 km ²		
DRB area	801,463 km ²		
Danube countries with catchment areas >2,000 km ²	EU Member States (8): Austria, Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Germany, Hungary, Slovak Republic, Slovenia, Romania. EU Accession Country (1): Croatia Non EU Member States (5): Bosnia & Herzegovina, Moldova, Montenegro, Serbia and Ukraine.		
Danube countries with catchment areas <2,000 km ²	EU Member States (2): Italy, Poland. Non EU Member States (3): Albania, FYR Macedonia, Switzerland.		
Inhabitants	approx. 80,5 million		
Length of Danube River	2,857 km		
Average discharge	approx. 6,500 m ³ /s (at the Danube mouth)		
Key tributaries with catchment areas >4,000 km ²	Lech, Naab, Isar, Inn, Traun, Enns, March/Morava, Svratka, Thaya/Dyje, Raab/Rába, Vah, Hron, Ipel/Ipoly, Siò, Drau/Drava, Tysa/Tisza/Tisa, Sava, Timis/Tamiš, Velika Morava, Timok, Jiu, Iskar, Olt, Yantra, Arges, Ialomita, Siret, Prut.		
Important lakes >100 km ²	Neusiedler See/Fertö-tó, Lake Balaton, Yalpug-Kugurlui Lake System, Razim-Sinoe Lake System (Lacul Razim and Lacul Sinoe, which is also a transitional water body)		
Important groundwater bodies	11 transboundary groundwater bodies of basin-wide importance are identified in the DRBD.		
Important water uses and services	Water abstraction (industry, irrigation, household supply), drinking water supply, wastewater discharge (municipalities, industry), hydropower generation, navigation, dredging and gravel exploitation, recreation, various ecosystem services.		

ICPDR Monitoring Strategy

icpdr iksd ternational

for the Protection zum Schutz of the Danube River der Donau

Internationale Commission Kommission

Table 1 Overview of surface water monitoring programmes in the Danube River Basin District and their use in fulfilling WFD monitoring requirements)¹

	International Part A		National Part B
	TNMN	JDS	National monitoring schemes
Surveillance monitoring I - monitoring of surface water status	х		х
Surveillance monitoring II - monitoring of specific pressures	ХХ	ХХ	Х
Operational monitoring of water bodies at risk	х		X) ²
Investigative monitoring		хх	х

X = data collection on status; XX = joint monitoring

Source: Summary Report to EU on monitoring programmes in the Danube River Basin District designed under Article 8, ICPDR 2007

Trans National Monitoring Network



- → In operation since 1996
- ➔ Based on national monitoring networks
- ➔ Has been adapted to WFD requirements in March 2007
- ➔ Includes both surface and ground waters
- Annual SW monitoring focuses on water matrix and includes load assessment programme
- ➔ AQC programme controls data reliability
- ➔ Results published in annual TNMN reports

Trans National Monitoring Network

icpdr iksd

nternational Internationale Commission Kommission for the Protection zum Schutz of the Danube River der Donau

New setup for surface waters



Joint Danube Surveys



- ➔ International longitudinal ship survey for the whole of the length of the Danube River including the major tributaries
- ➔So far 2 Surveys organised
 - → 2001 JDS 1
 - → 2007 JDS 2

Joint Danube Surveys General objectives



- ➔ To undertake on a short-term basis an international longitudinal ship survey that would produce a homogeneous information on water quality for the whole of the length of the Danube River including the major tributaries.
- ➔ To provide information necessary for the implementation of the EU Water Framework Directive (ecological & chemical status)

Joint Danube Surveys Specific objectives

International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River

- ➔ Screening for wide range of substances in all relevant matrices;
- ➔ Microbiological analysis
- ➔ Radioactive contaminants & isotope hydrology
- ➔ Biological validation of the Danube typology;
- → Ecological assessment of the Danube River in line with the EU WFD
- ➔ Contribution to the Danube Intercalibration Exercise
- Providing a forum for riparian/river basin country participation for sampling and intercomparison exercises;
- ➔ Facilitating specific training needs and improve in-country experience;
- ➔ Promoting public awareness

JDS2 Sediments & SPM



- The results for organochlorine compounds do not indicate that these substances are relevant pollutants in the Danube, which is a clear improvement of the past situation as described in the Danube Roof Report 2004.
- PAH values in sediments were about one order of magnitude lower than those typically found in the Elbe.
- Polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans (PCDD/Fs) and dioxin-like PCBs were more than one order of magnitude lower when compared to the Elbe and only one site slightly exceeded the "safe sediment value" for PCDD/Fs. EC-6 PCBs did not exceed the German quality standards in sediment.
- The results of the ecotoxicological analysis of the Danube sediments showed no significant toxic effects.



JDS2 Sediments & SPM & biota

HHCB (Galaxolide) in in SPM

Decabromodiphenylether in sediments

Joint

Danube

50

45

0,01

Concentration, mg/kg

Π

Π

5 0

0

JDS2 Cross matrix inter-comparison of semi-volatile organics

Joint Danube Survey 2



JDS2 Heavy metals

River km





Joint

River km

Danube

Survey 2

JDS2 Heavy metals



Total Mercury in Abramis brama in the Danube (mg/kg wet weight)







Total heavy metals and As concentrations in the two most abundant mussel species during the JDS2 (mg/kg dry weight)



JDS2 Emerging substances



- The analytical results obtained for polar compounds in the Danube (pharmaceuticals, pesticides, perfluorinated acids - PFOS/PFOA) and phenolic endocrine disrupting compounds) are similar to those in other large European rivers such as the Rhine, Elbe or Po.
- The most relevant polar compounds identified in the Danube River in terms of frequency of detection, persistency and concentrations were anticorrosives benzotriazoles, pesticide 2,4-D, and antiepileptics pharmaceutical carbamazepine.

JDS2 Emerging substances



Joint

Danube

Survey 2

River km



- In general, the average concentrations of priority substances detected during the JDS2 tend to be lower than those measured during the JDS1, especially for organic substances.
- This indicates that measures taken to reduce their emissions are starting to be successful.
- However, several priority substances as well as newly emerging substances are becoming of concern in the Danube basin and require measures to be taken to minimise their emissions.

MORE INFO at

ww.icpdr.org